

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/966,007	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KUDO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Renata McCloud	<b>Art Unit</b> 2837	

**All Participants:**

(1) Renata McCloud.

(2) David Spaw.

**Status of Application: 71**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 June 2004

**Time:** 2:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

1,2

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner indicated that claims 1 and 2 had some 112 issues. Att. Spaw agreed to correct the claims by examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)